# Element Pool Changes within a Scrub-Oak Ecosystem after 11 Years of Exposure to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>

### Benjamin D. Duval<sup>1,2\*<sup>#</sup></sup>, Paul Dijkstra<sup>1,2</sup>, Bert G. Drake<sup>3</sup>, Dale W. Johnson<sup>4</sup>, Michael E. Ketterer<sup>5</sup>, J. Patrick Megonigal<sup>3</sup>, Bruce A. Hungate<sup>1,2</sup>

 Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States of America, 2 Merriam-Powell Center for Environmental Research, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States of America, 3 Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, Maryland, United States of America, 4 Department of Natural Resources, University of Nevada-Reno, Reno, Nevada, United States of America, 5 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States of America

#### Abstract

The effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on ecosystem element stocks are equivocal, in part because cumulative effects of  $CO_2$  on element pools are difficult to detect. We conducted a complete above and belowground inventory of non-nitrogen macroand micronutrient stocks in a subtropical woodland exposed to twice-ambient  $CO_2$  concentrations for 11 years. We analyzed a suite of nutrient elements and metals important for nutrient cycling in soils to a depth of  $\sim 2$  m, in leaves and stems of the dominant oaks, in fine and coarse roots, and in litter. In conjunction with large biomass stimulation, elevated  $CO_2$  increased oak stem stocks of Na, Mg, P, K, V, Zn and Mo, and the aboveground pool of K and S. Elevated  $CO_2$  increased root pools of most elements, except Zn.  $CO_2$ -stimulation of plant Ca was larger than the decline in the extractable Ca pool in soils, whereas for other elements, increased plant uptake matched the decline in the extractable pool in soil. We conclude that elevated  $CO_2$  caused a net transfer of a subset of nutrients from soil to plants, suggesting that ecosystems with a positive plant growth response under high  $CO_2$  will likely cause mobilization of elements from soil pools to plant biomass.

Citation: Duval BD, Dijkstra P, Drake BG, Johnson DW, Ketterer ME, et al. (2013) Element Pool Changes within a Scrub-Oak Ecosystem after 11 Years of Exposure to Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. PLoS ONE 8(5): e64386. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386

Editor: Ben Bond-Lamberty, DOE Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, United States of America

Received January 31, 2013; Accepted April 12, 2013; Published May 23, 2013

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Funding: Research was funded by NSF grants DEB-0092642 and DEB-0543218. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: Benjamin.Duval@ars.usda.gov

n Current address: United States Dairy Forage Research Center, United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, Madison, Wisconsin, United States of America

#### Introduction

Many studies have evaluated the effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on nitrogen cycling, and focused on the hypothesis that tree growth response to elevated  $CO_2$  may be limited by N availability, or change with N use efficiency [1–4], but the impact of  $CO_2$  on elements other than N has been studied less frequently. The nutrients P, K and Ca can also limit plant productivity [5,6], non-N nutrients can limit N<sub>2</sub> fixation and C storage [7], and changes in Al, Mn and Fe concentrations might affect the availability of other mineral nutrients in soils [8]. To fully assess the impact of elevated  $CO_2$  on ecosystem nutrient cycling, it is important to evaluate effects on all elements that are necessary for plant nutrition and elements that control the availability of other nutrients in the soil system.

Photosynthesis and growth are often stimulated by elevated  $CO_2$  in  $C_3$  plants [9,10], often leading to more biomass production. Increased growth increases nutrient demands [11]. It has been suggested that nutrients become more limiting for growth over time and can limit terrestrial C uptake [12]. Increased production of carbohydrates in plants is suggested to reduce element concentrations in plants [13]. Elevated  $CO_2$  generally reduces plant N concentration, but increased growth does not inherently dilute the concentration of other elements in plant tissues [14–18]. In sweet gum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*), Johnson et al. [17] found significant declines in foliar Fe concentration with elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. At the POP-EUROFACE CO<sub>2</sub> experiment, there was no change in poplar leaf K or Ca concentrations, while Mg concentration actually increased in those trees [19]. A cross-experiment evaluation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> by Natali et al. [20] showed significantly lower Fe concentration in sweet gum at the Duke FACE site, decreased Al, V and Fe concentrations in sweet gum at the Oak Ridge FACE site, but increased Mn and Mo concentrations in *Quercus myrtifolia* at the Smithsonian Institution Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> site in Florida. Lastly, a recent meta-analysis of 14 tree species and 10 nutrient elements found that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> lowers Cu, Fe, K, Mg, P and S concentrations, but only at high N availability [15].

Element availability varies by soil type and ecosystem. Soil element availability is a function of soil organic matter content (SOM) and pH, with elements generally less adsorbed to metal oxides and SOM in acid soils [21]. Elevated  $CO_2$  has been shown to increase P availability, possibly a function of decline in SOM in the experimental plots [22]. Elevated  $CO_2$  has also been implicated in reducing leaching of soil N and P from upper soil layers [17]. A recent study found that trace metal concentrations increased in soils exposed to elevated  $CO_2$  at Duke FACE and

Oak Ridge FACE, but decreased under elevated  $CO_2$  for every element surveyed at the Florida SERC experiment [20].

Johnson et al. [23] reported a decrease in foliar N and S concentration of scrub-oak (Q, myrtifolia), an increase in oak foliar Mn, and no change in P, K, Cu, B or Zn after 5 years of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment [23]. However, because biomass was significantly higher under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, total plant pool sizes (on an area basis) for all elements were increased under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. The data reported here were collected at the end of the Florida CO<sub>2</sub> experiment, providing an assessment of the cumulative effect of more than a decade of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on soil element pools. We evaluate the impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on a scrub-oak stand in a fire-regenerated ecosystem by quantifying soil, litter and plant tissue (above and below ground tissue) element pools after 11 years, and to determine if elevated CO<sub>2</sub> facilitated nutrient retention, loss or redistribution in this system.

Our overarching hypothesis was that declines in soil nutrients under elevated  $CO_2$  are quantitatively caused by increases in plant pools, in other words, that the cumulative impact of elevated  $CO_2$ is to redistribute elements in the plant-soil system. Specifically, we hypothesized that nutrient cycling in the Florida ecosystem changed under elevated  $CO_2$  in the following ways:

1) Increases in above ground biomass driven by elevated  $\rm CO_2$  will increase the pool size of elements in those tissues (leaves, stems, litter and roots).

2) Increased plant uptake depletes plant soluble element pools in soils exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.

3) Element retention in this ecosystem will increase under elevated  $CO_2$  because of increased plant element pools, especially in long-lived tissue like wood and coarse roots.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Study site

Our study was conducted at the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center's long-term elevated  $CO_2$  experiment at Kennedy Space Center, Cape Canaveral, Florida, USA (28° 38' N, 80° 42' W). The experiment consisted of 16 octagonal open-top chambers that were 2.5 m high covering a ground surface area of 9.42 m<sup>2</sup>. Eight chambers were kept at ambient atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration (ambient treatment) and 8 chambers were maintained at ambient +360 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>  $CO_2$  (elevated treatment) from May 1996 to June 2007. Soils at the site are acidic sands (Arenic Haplahumods and Spodic Quartzipsamments). The vegetation is Florida coastal scrub-oak palmetto [23,24]. In the experimental chambers, greater than 90% of the aboveground biomass is scrub oak [25].

#### **Field collections**

We harvested aboveground biomass in July 2007. We took foliar samples by collecting 5 fully expanded leaves per tree (Q. myrtifolia) from 5 distinct trees per plot. Oak stem samples were taken from 5 large branches from the main trunk per plot. We also took samples from the principal symbiotic nitrogen-fixing vine in the system, *Galactia elliottii*. We collected leaves from 5 *G. elliottii* vines per plot.

Soil was collected with a 7 cm diameter soil core at five locations within each chamber. We separated horizons as follows: A horizon (0-10 cm), E horizon (10-30 cm), E2 horizon (30-100 cm) and spodic horizon (Bh), a distinct zone of organic matter accumulation that varied from ~100-250 cm depth. Because the spodic horizon varies in depth and is the deepest soil layer above the vadose zone, not all of the chambers were sampled to the same depth. The cores from each plot were combined per depth into a single composite sample for element analysis.



## Figure 1. Re-sampled effect size (1000 iterations) of elevated $CO_2$ compared to ambient $CO_2$ means and 90% confidence intervals, for element pools in A) *Quercus* spp. leaves, B) *Quercus* spp. stems.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.g001

We collected litter from 1.18 m<sup>2</sup> of the chamber. We collected roots from soil cores by sieving (2 mm mesh). For each soil depth, we separated roots by size into fine (<2 mm diameter) and coarse (>2 mm) fractions. Nutrient concentrations in root tissues were scaled up using root biomass estimates based on minirhizotron photographs (fine roots) and ground penetrating radar imaging [26].

#### Sample Preparation and Element Analysis

We analyzed soil and plant tissues from the experimental site for the following elements (in order by atomic mass): Na, Mg, Al, P, S, K, Ca, V, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Se, Sr and Mo. All glass wear and plastic containers used for sample extractions and digestions were acid washed in 0.5 M HCl for 48 hours prior to use. All acid reagents were of trace-metal clean purity. Prior to soil digestions and extractions, roots were removed from soil core samples and all soil was passed through a 2 mm sieve and oven dried at 105 °C. An acid digestion was used to prepare samples for measuring total soil element concentrations. Dried soil samples of 100–150 mg were ashed at 600 °C prior to acid digestion in a MARS **Table 1.** Element pools in the above ground plant biomass, litter layer and roots (0-100 cm, coarse + fine roots) under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Kennedy Space Center, Florida.

Element	Tissue	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SE	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SE
Na (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Foliar	5.09	2.27	1.89	0.86
	Stems*	0.72	0.31	1.22	0.31
	Litter	45.51	6.60	42.54	6.55
	Roots to 100 cm*	87.45	4.54	146.81	26.50
Mg	Foliar	24.25	10.77	15.55	3.26
	Stems*	0.93	0.25	2.26	0.47
	Litter	32.62	4.87	35.75	5.40
	Roots to 100 cm*	69.81	4.63	97.90	12.17
AI	Foliar	0.16	0.08	0.11	0.02
	Stems	0.30	0.10	0.67	0.17
	Litter	41.60	6.51	47.21	6.40
	Roots to 100 cm	91.17	12.90	145.41	24.73
Р	Foliar	12.45	4.55	9.52	1.80
	Stems*	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.02
	Litter	6.13	0.98	7.78	1.04
	Roots to 100 cm	3.04	0.29	4.07	0.56
S (g <sup>.</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	)Foliar	104.08	31.05	299.93	65.71
	Stems	6.26	1.90	13.54	3.11
	Litter	1184.07	157.57	1262.56	135.14
	Roots to 100 cm	168.09	20.35	419.00	130.25
к	Foliar	30.17	8.01	37.87	7.83
	Stems*	78.25	24.26	237.36	51.80
	Litter	80.34	11.40	95.38	14.71
	Roots to 100 cm	382.26	87.86	384.73	72.97
Ca	Foliar	79.76	36.18	48.52	9.10
	Stems	0.32	0.08	0.71	0.16
	Litter	16.96	2.89	20.22	2.53
	Roots to 100 cm	18.47	1.60	26.31	3.20
V (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	)Foliar	0.44	0.18	0.30	0.06
	Stems*	7.57	3.51	20.81	6.96
	Litter	356.66	44.52	391.05	48.22
	Roots to 100 cm	0.47	0.07	1.04	0.25
Mn	Foliar	0.71	0.22	0.72	0.14
	Stems	0.07	0.02	0.14	0.03
	Litter	5.26	1.20	4.82	1.04
	Roots to 100 cm	1.98	0.39	2.62	0.53
Fe	Foliar	0.22	0.07	0.18	0.03
	Stems	0.09	0.04	0.13	0.04
	Litter	2.10	0.34	11.28	7.87

#### Table 1. Cont.

Element	Tissue	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SE	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SE
	Roots to 100 cm	1.34	0.22	1.74	0.24
Cu (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-</sup> ¹)	Foliar	43.58	13.43	44.07	9.04
	Stems	7.08	2.00	16.06	3.95
	Litter	298.87	46.66	295.14	37.05
	Roots to 100 cm	678.74	118.14	1215.08	292.35
Zn	Foliar	0.20	0.07	0.22	0.04
	Stems*	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.02
	Litter	2.41	0.23	2.83	0.63
	Roots to 100 cm	2.20	0.18	4.37	1.10
Se (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Foliar	0.14	0.03	0.16	0.06
	Stems	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Litter	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Roots to 100 cm	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01
Sr (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Foliar	235.23	124.75	173.36	41.78
	Stems	55.90	18.00	132.58	36.97
	Litter	2470.91	478.97	2431.55	282.15
	Roots to 100 cm*	3.70	0.43	4.40	0.58
Mo (g <sup></sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Foliar	0.07	0.02	0.05	0.01
	Stems*	0.91	0.31	1.67	0.38
	Litter	241.24	28.68	264.25	31.25
	Roots to 100 cm	0.10	0.02	0.23	0.07

Asterisks denote significant ANOVA results for larger pools under elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$ , denotes larger pools in ambient  $CO_2$  plots. All units are kg. ha-1 unless specified differently. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.t001

microwave digestor. Microwave digestion was performed for 20 minute runs at 200 °C with trace metal grade, concentrated HF,  $HNO_3^-$  and HCl, until all soil was dissolved into solution.

Plant-available element pools in soil were determined using an ammonium oxalate extraction [27]. One (1.0) g of soil was extracted in 15 ml of 0.3 M ammonium oxalate in a 60 ml sample cup, placed on a reciprocal shaker at 180 rpm for 18 h, filtered, diluted 10 times, and re-suspended in 10 ml of 0.32 M trace metal grade HNO<sub>3</sub>. We use this extraction as an estimate of plant-available element pools because the yields from this extraction fall within the published values for plant available element pool compared to the total (in this case acid digest) element pool [8,28].

Plant tissues (leaves, woody biomass and roots) were oven dried at 60 °C for 24 h after collection. Roots were cleaned of excess soil by sonicating  $\sim 3-5$  g of root tissue in15 ml centrifuge tubes for 30 minutes in ultrapure (18 M $\Omega$ ) water. The washed roots were again oven-dried for 24 h at 60°C. All plant samples were then ashed at 600 °C, and 500–600 mg of each ashed sample was acid



Figure 2. Re-sampled effect size (1000 iterations) of elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$  means and 90% confidence intervals, for element pools in A) all plant roots to a depth of 1 m and B) the litter layer. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.g002

uol.10.137 1/journal.pone.0004300.g002

digested on the MARS microwave digestor. Element analyses were conducted using Thermo X Series quadrupole ICP-MS.

Estimates of total plant biomass [25], were used to calculate the aboveground pool of elements. We multiplied element concentrations from *Q. myrtifolia* leaves and stems with total leaf and stem biomass to estimate the overall content of nutrients in the three oak species. *G. elliottii* element concentrations were likewise multiplied by biomass. The belowground plant element pool (to 100 cm) was calculated by multiplying the element concentration of roots by their biomass.

#### Statistical Analyses & Data Availability

We analyzed the effects of  $CO_2$  on *Q. myrtifolia* biomass, element concentration, and element mass with a one-way ANOVA. We also used a two-way ANOVA model to test for  $CO_2$  effects and differences in the element mass of different plant pools (leaves, stems, litter and roots), and interactions between those factors. The effect of elevated  $CO_2$  on soil element mass was analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA model with  $CO_2$  treatments and soil depth as the repeated measure. We employed the two-tailed Flinger-Killen test to check assumptions of equal variance [29]. Pairwise comparisons of  $CO_2$  effects on soil pools by depth were made using Tukey's HSD test. Due to relatively low sample size (n=8 per treatment), we use an alpha of 0.10 to determine significance [20].

To control for family-wise error rates, we used the False Discovery Rate (FDR) test to ensure that using a large number of pair-wise tests for  $CO_2$  effects did not yield a significant number of Type I errors. Our tests of multiple elements within a "group", for example, soil plant available element pools, consisted of 15 individual ANOVAs. In all cases, the FDR expected less than one false discovery per group of multiple tests, justifying our use of multiple ANOVAs.

We also calculated the percent effect of elevated  $CO_2$  on nutrient pools:

%EffectSize =

(ElementmassElevatedCO2 - ElementmassAmbientCO2)/

ElementmassAmbientCO2x100

To ensure that we were able to detect differences due to the CO<sub>2</sub> treatment with relatively low sample size, and reduce our study-level Type II error rate, we also used resampling with replacement to determine the % effect size of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on element pools, an approach complementary to ANOVA for determining differences between treatments [30]. We re-sampled from the sample population of element masses in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plots and ambient  $CO_2$  plots, with replacement (1000 iterations). This approach enabled us to determine the mean effect of elevated  $CO_2$  compared to the control plots, as well as calculate 90% confidence intervals around the mean effect size. We consider the  $CO_2$  effect meaningful if the confidence intervals do not overlap 0. Statistical analyses were performed in JMP, Microsoft Excel and R [31]. Data used in these analyses are available online via the University of Illinois' Institute for Genomic Biology Public Data Archive [32].

#### Results

The concentration of V and Ca decreased in *Q. myrtifolia* leaves exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> ( $F_{1,14}$  = 3.22, *P* = 0.09;  $F_{1,14}$  = 3.20, *P* = 0.09, respectively), but foliar S concentration increased ( $F_{1,14}$  = 5.66, *P* = 0.03). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduced the concentration of stem Ca ( $F_{1,14}$  = 5.93, *P* = 0.03), Mn ( $F_{1,14}$  = 3.17, *P* = 0.10) and Fe ( $F_{1,14}$  = 4.18, *P* = 0.06). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> did not significantly change the concentration of any element measured in root tissue or in the litter layer.

The total aboveground biomass of scrub oak exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was ~100% higher at the end of the experiment ( $F_{1,14} = 10.44$ , P = 0.01, from ref. 25). Pools of K and S in total above ground oak biomass were greater under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (P < 0.05) compared to ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> exposure suggests a greater accumulation of S under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1A). Oak stems under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> hosted significantly greater pools of Na, Mg, P, K, V, Zn and Mo (ANOVA, P < 0.10; Table 1), and our resample analysis suggests every element other than Mn and Sr was accumulated in woody tissue under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 1B).

**Table 2.** Total pool (acid digest) of soil elements after 11 years exposure to under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Kennedy Space Center, Florida elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, Kennedy Space Center.

Element	Soil Horizon	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM
Na (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	340.5	23.6	287.2	19.9
	E (10–30 cm)	845.6	128.9	756.4	69.2
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	231.1	11.1	216.1	13.4
	Spodic	44.6	2.8	53.4	10.7
Mg (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	46.3	3.1	36.7	1.9
	E (10–30 cm)	64.8	6.6	69	12.8
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	7.5	1.5	8.7	1.8
	Spodic	1.5	0.2	1.6	0.2
Al (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	1687.9	219.9	1284.5	145.3
	E (10–30 cm)	2523.9	501.2	2524	366.6
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	515.7	122.9	995.6	545.1
	Spodic	245.6	35.2	247.5	39
P (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	9.8	2.2	9.1	2
	E (10–30 cm)	131.8	25.9	103.1	16
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	10	3.6	13	3.5
	Spodic	4.1	0.7	5	0.9
S (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	n/a			
	E (10–30 cm)	n/a			
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	6.6	1.4	7.6	1.2
	Spodic	1.2	0	1.2	0
K (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	680.3	62.4	536.7	49.3
	E (10–30 cm)	1527.1	320.1	1346.8	146.5
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	126462.7	35285.6	117669.6	31055.1
	Spodic	50398	5619.8	41336.6	4337.6
Ca (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	30.7	1.6	26.5	1.4
	E (10–30 cm)	65.4	6.2	63.9	3.9
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	17.2	5.1	18.4	4.7
	Spodic	4.8	0.1	5	0.2
V (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	2.50	0.18	1.99	0.13
	E (10–30 cm)	5.01	0.63	4.87	0.60
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	2.44	0.20	2.42	0.17
	Spodic	0.48	0.02	0.52	0.03
Mn (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	19.5	1.5	15.3	1
	E (10–30 cm)	45.8	9.9	40.3	7.9

#### Table 2. Cont.

Element	Soil Horizon	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	4.3	0.8	4.1	0.6
	Spodic	0.8	0	0.9	0.1
Fe (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	72.7	5.8	56.1	3.9
	E (10–30 cm)	137.2	25.5	140	25
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	21.9	7.2	19.7	4.5
	Spodic	n/a			
Cu (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	3.06	0.20	3.11	0.36
	E (10-30 cm)	6.23	0.50	5.47	0.39
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	1.94	0.08	1.97	0.07
	Spodic	316.8	9.3	315.7	10
Zn (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	10.3	1.2	8.1	1
	E (10–30 cm)	16.7	1.5	15.2	1.2
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	3.2	0.2	3.7	0.3
	Spodic	0.5	0	0.5	0
Se (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	150.9	6.4	143.4	6.1
	E (10–30 cm)	337	37.4	339.7	21.2
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	276.3	264.9	45.3	206.1
	Spodic	54.9	6.5	55.5	8.1
Sr (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	6.89	0.64	4.93	0.39
	E (10–30 cm)	11.25	2.45	11.20	1.55
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	3.24	0.52	3.01	0.45
	Spodic	1.03	0.16	1555.3	0.43
Mo (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	318.2	20.4	289.9	12.9
	E (10–30 cm)	685.7	34.1	681.9	30.4
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	1785.3	17.4	1758.9	34.6
	Spodic	307.5	6.2	316.9	9

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.t002

There was generally a positive effect of  $CO_2$  on the root element pool (Figure 1C). Indeed, our resample analysis showed significant  $CO_2$  effect on Na, Mg, Ca and V, and only a negative effect on Zn (Figure 2A). The mass of litter was not significantly changed by  $CO_2$  exposure, but compared to foliar and stem tissues there were large pools of Na, Mg, S, V, Fe, Cu, Sr and Mo in the litter layer (Table 1). There was at least a slight trend for a positive  $CO_2$  effect on litter pools for every element measured other than Na and Mn (Figure 2B).

Two-way ANOVA including  $CO_2$  treatment and plant pool as predictor variables revealed that there were significant differences among plant pools for every element measured. This difference was driven by larger pools of elements in roots than other plant material for every element other than Al and Ca, which were in **Table 3.** Pool of plant available (ammonium oxalate extractable) elements after 11 years exposure to under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Kennedy Space Center, Florida elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, Kennedy Space Center.

-					
Element	Soil Horizon	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM
Na (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	5.12	0.94	4.79	0.65
	E (10–30 cm)	15.20	1.10	15.09	2.15
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	11.64	0.29	11.14	0.15
	Spodic	11.94	0.20	10.81	0.60
Mg (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	8.51	2.13	5.41	0.87
	E (10–30 cm)	7.61	1.03	7.04	1.00
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	2.50	0.33	2.22	0.12
	Spodic	4.60	0.19	3.54	0.57
Al (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	8.61	1.81	5.25	1.90
	E (10–30 cm)	37.95	11.95	14.42	2.45
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	312.01	73.30	286.22	85.62
	Spodic	67.92	2.80	53.47	7.65
P (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	3.50	0.77	3.24	0.70
	E (10–30 cm)	46.99	9.25	36.77	5.69
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	7.41	1.55	7.38	1.88
	Spodic	4.81	0.11	3.67	0.77
S (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	12.03	2.67	11.14	2.39
	E (10–30 cm)	161.66	31.81	126.50	19.56
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	25.49	5.32	25.39	6.47
	Spodic	16.57	0.37	12.62	2.65
K (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	8.20	1.74	7.04	1.06
	E (10–30 cm)	13.98	3.28	10.06	1.33
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	5725.41	422.47	5996.16	389.53
	Spodic	18408.19	605.24	14427.58	2228.08
Ca (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	3.12	1.17	3.11	1.03
	E (10–30 cm)	38.78	3.93	39.55	3.47
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	0.63	0.03	0.64	0.03
	Spodic	1.30	0.01	1.01	0.19
V (g · ha <sup>-1</sup> )	) A (0–10 cm)	32.97	6.24	14.00	3.05
	E (10–30 cm)	20.98	3.27	15.77	1.05
	E2 (30- 100 cm)	152.86	8.68	157.44	12.85
	Spodic	149.04	2.74	133.07	8.72
Mn (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	122.37	19.94	93.03	11.27
	E (10–30 cm)	141.58	11.82	148.75	19.07
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	283.02	11.80	281.44	7.11

#### Table 3. Cont.

Element	Soil Horizon	Ambient CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM	Elevated CO <sub>2</sub>	SEM
	Spodic	282.94	8.98	239.83	22.77
Fe (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	7.60	2.16	4.42	1.78
	E (10–30 cm)	) 27.59	10.71	9.56	1.79
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	14.76	3.55	11.53	3.83
	Spodic	3.62	0.17	2.93	0.36
Cu (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	2.26	0.73	2.64	0.86
	E (10–30 cm)	6.12	1.52	10.66	4.05
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	0.32	0.08	0.31	0.08
	Spodic	0.34	0.01	0.29	0.03
Zn (kg <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	0.58	0.15	0.47	0.12
	E (10–30 cm)	0.97	0.19	1.30	0.32
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	0.76	0.17	0.94	0.18
	Spodic	0.87	0.03	0.73	0.07
Se (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	1.00	0.27	0.65	0.22
	E (10–30 cm)	5.07	2.19	1.74	0.37
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	n/a			
	Spodic	177.24	12.07	n/a	
Sr (g <sup>·</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	31.63	3.55	27.64	4.19
	E (10–30 cm)	85.51	11.01	78.84	10.80
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	168.69	7.72	164.63	6.23
	Spodic	142.55	2.77	128.73	6.97
Mo (g <sup>-</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	A (0–10 cm)	0.99	0.68	0.21	0.02
	E (10–30 cm)	1.25	0.54	0.49	0.03
	E2 (30– 100 cm)	97.09	1.11	96.18	1.46
	Spodic	82.78	0.65	80.41	1.19

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.t003

larger quantity in leaf tissue (Table 1). There were significant, positive main CO<sub>2</sub> effects on the overall above ground plant element pool for Ca ( $F_{1,56} = 2.76$ , P = 0.10), K ( $F_{1,56} = 2.99$ , P = 0.09) and Sr ( $F_{1,56} = 3.00$ , P = 0.08). There was also a significant CO<sub>2</sub> by tissue pool interaction for those three elements (Ca,  $F_{3,56} = 2.77$ , P = 0.05; K,  $F_{3,56} = 3.00$ , P = 0.04; Sr,  $F_{3,56} = 3.09$ , P = 0.03).

Examining the entire soil profile, there was no  $CO_2$  effect on nutrient pools for the entire soil profile (0–100 cm + Bh) for either the total digest or plant available soil elements (Figure 3A, 3B). There were no  $CO_2$  effects when individual horizons were considered independently (Table 2, Table 3), other than plant available Al to a depth of 30 cm ( $F_{1,29} = 3.33$ , P = 0.08). However, we observed a significant effect of horizon depth for all total digest elements, and a horizon effect for plant-available nutrient pools of Mg, Al, K, Ca, V, Mn, Se and Sr (Table 3). We also observed



Figure 3. Re-sampled effect size (1000 iterations) of elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$  means and 90% confidence intervals, for elements in A) total acid digest soil pool and B) plant available soil element pools. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.g003

trends in the pool size for different elements at different soil depths. Irrespective of  $CO_2$  treatment, there was a large total (acid digest) pool of K in the E2 and Bh (spodic) horizons, and this held for plant available K as well (Table 2, Table 3). Consistent with other spodosols, a large pool of Fe was found in the 10–30 cm portion of the horizon (Table 2). Plant available molybdenum was found in the greatest quantity in the deeper parts of the profile (Table 3).

The effect of  $CO_2$  on the total ecosystem element pool, calculated as the sum of the total plant and plant available element pools in soil, was only significantly higher under elevated  $CO_2$  for Ca (Figure 4).

#### Discussion

While elevated  $CO_2$  is expected to lower element concentrations in plant leaves [13], element concentrations in oak leaves we measured after 11 years of elevated  $CO_2$  exposure were generally not significantly impacted by high  $CO_2$ . Calcium and V concentrations decreased, but S concentrations were higher in



Figure 4. Re-sampled effect size (1000 iterations) of elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$  means and 90% confidence intervals, for the total ecosystem element pool, calculated as the difference between the total plant and plant available element pools in soil under elevated  $CO_2$  compared to ambient  $CO_2$ .

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064386.g004

oak leaves in the elevated  $CO_2$  treatment. Scrub oaks at the Florida experiment showed significantly greater growth over the 11 years they were exposed to elevated  $CO_2$  [25]. The increased above ground oak biomass under elevated  $CO_2$  was high enough to consistently lead to increases in above ground plant and litter nutrient element pools irrespective of changes in element concentration (Table 1, Figure 1, Figure 2B).

We did not measure significant differences in soil element pools under elevated CO2 compared to ambient conditions. Combined with the sustained biomass stimulation of oaks under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, there is no evidence that non-nitrogen nutrients are limiting growth after extended CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. There was a strong signal for a positive effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on root nutrient pools (Figure 1C), and the overall pool of elements in roots for oaks exposed to both elevated and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was often orders of magnitude higher than the element content of stems and leaves, driven by the large below ground biomass pool [33]. Thus, it is possible that these oaks are liberating nutrient elements from the total element pools into soluble forms by increasing production of root exudates, facilitating mycorrhizal colonization and changes to rhizosphere chemistry that facilitate nutrient uptake [34-37]. At the end of our study, root biomass was significantly higher under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatments [33]. If oaks under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> are shifting C allocation belowground, which is in turn providing greater root surface area and potentially more root exudate production, it would explain both the possibility that oaks are mining the soil for elements to meet their nutritional demands, as well as the positive effect of  $CO_2$  on root element pools (Figure 2B).

We do not have direct measurements of *Q. myrtifolia* mining soils for nutrients via root exudation and rhizosphere acidification. However, a source of nutrient liberation (and therefore facilitation of movement from soils to plant biomass) could come from the "priming" effect of elevated  $CO_2$  on organic matter mineralization, which could enhance the release of nutrients like P, Ca and metals bound to SOM. Indeed, we observed that the increase in Ca stocks in oaks was higher than the decline of extractable Ca in the soils (Figure 4). This phenomenon has been observed for N at this site, in the form of increased N mineralization under elevated  $CO_2$  [38], and the Ca result supports the hypothesis that  $CO_2$ induced soil priming increases nutrient availability could be a general phenomenon.

Calculating the  $CO_2$  effect of total ecosystem elements showed that  $CO_2$  enhances Ca retention but not significantly so for other elements (Figure 4). Because of the fire regime of this system, nonvolatile elements sequestered in plant biomass will eventually return to the soil, but elements leached from the soil system to the water table are effectively gone from the system [39].

Liu et al. [40] measured increased leaching of Mg (385%), K (223%), Ca (167%) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (108%) under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, and attributed element loss to accelerated mineral weathering and higher soil water content under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Element loss through leaching is permanent, and we expect that soluble forms of elements that migrate downward through the soil profile will be exported from the system via lateral transfer [28]. However, the total pool of most elements is large relative to the plant available pool (Table 2, Table 3), and soluble forms of elements (especially K, Fe and Mg) can also be replenished in the soil via geochemical processes like chemical weathering, which may be accelerated by exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> [34,40]. Indeed, our observed trend for lower concentrations of amorphous Al-oxides under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at the Florida site could be a result of accelerated chemical weathering [41].

Our results demonstrate that nutrient cycling is substantially altered after 11 years of exposure to elevated  $CO_2$ , but the  $CO_2$ 

#### References

- Finzi AC, Moore DJP, DeLucia EH, Lichter J, Hofmockel KS, et al. (2006) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a warm-temperate forest. Ecology 87: 15–25.
- Hungate BA, Johnson DW, Dijkstra P, Hymus G, Stiling P, et al. (2006) Nitrogen cycling during seven years of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in a scrub oak woodland. Ecology 87: 26–40.
- Johnson DW (2006) Progressive N limitation in forests: review and implications for long-term responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Ecology 87: 64–75.
- Norby RJ, Warren JM, Iversen CM, Medlyn BE, McMurtrie RE (2010) CO<sub>2</sub> enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. PNAS 107: 19368–19373.
- Vitousek PM, Farrington H (1997) Nutrient limitation and soil development: Experimental test of a biogeochemical theory. Biogeochemistry 37: 63–75.
- Chapin FS, Matson PA, Mooney HA (2002) Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology. Springer Press, USA.
- van Groenigen KJ, Six J, Hungate BA, de Graaff MA, van Breemen N, et al. (2006) Element interactions limit soil carbon storage. PNAS 103: 6571–6574.
- Kabata-Pendias A (2001) Trace elements in soils and plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phyt 165: 351–372.
- Norby RJ, DeLucia EH, Gielen B, Calfapietra C, Giardina CP, et al. (2005) Forest response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is conserved across a broad range of productivity. PNAS 102: 18052–18056.
- Sterner RW, Elser JJ (2002) Ecological Stoichiometry: The biology of elements from molecules to the biosphere. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersev.
- Luo Y, Su B, Currie WS, Dukes JS, Finzi A, et al. (2004) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. BioSci 54: 731–739.
- Loladze I (2002) Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? Trend Ecol Evol 17: 457–461.
- Peñuelas J, Filella I, Tognetti R (2001) Leaf mineral concentrations of *Erica* arborea, *Juniperus communis* and *Myrtus communis* growing in the proximity of a natural CO<sub>2</sub> spring. Glob Change Biol 7: 291–301.

effect is element dependent [15,42]. The strong, positive growth response of oaks to  $CO_2$  [25] led to increased pools of some elements (Na, V, Zn and Mo) in plant biomass and quantifiably lower plant available pools of most elements throughout the soil profile (Table 3). However, because there were only significant changes in the movement of some elements, it is likely that  $CO_2$  effects on element cycles are not easily generalized.

#### Conclusions

Our results support the hypothesis that increases in oak biomass under elevated  $CO_2$  would increase the pool of nutrient elements in oak tissues. We also observed measurably lower stocks of most nutrients in soils under elevated  $CO_2$ . The observation that Ca was retained in this system under elevated  $CO_2$  opens the possibility that some plants actively mine soils under elevated  $CO_2$ for nutrients other than N.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank David Johnson, Tom Powell and Hans Anderson for their commitment to the upkeep and success of the SERC Elevated  $CO_2$  experiment. We thank Dr. Frank Day and his team at Old Dominion University for invaluable field assistance at the end of the experiment. We also thank Dr. Gwenyth Gordon and Dr. Ariel Anbar of Arizona State University for assistance with macronutrient and trace element analysis with Q-ICP-MS and the use of their facilities.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: BDD BGD DWJ JPM BAH. Performed the experiments: BDD PD MEK. Analyzed the data: BDD BAH. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BDD PD MEK JPM BAH. Wrote the paper: BDD PD BAH.

- Duval BD, Blankinship JC, Dijkstra P, Hungate BA (2012) CO2 effects on plant nutrient concentration depend on plant functional group and available nitrogen: a meta-analysis. Plant Ecol 213: 505–521.
- Cotrufo MF, Ineson P, Scott A (1998) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. Glob Change Biol 4: 43–54.
- Johnson DW, Cheng W, Joslin JD, Norby RJ, Edwards NT, et al. (2004) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient cycling in a sweetgum plantation. Biogeochemistry 69: 379–403.
- Cotrufo MF, De Angelis P, Polle A (2005) Leaf litter production and decomposition in a poplar short-rotation coppice exposed to free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (POPFACE). Glob Change Biol 11: 971–982.
- Marinari S, Calfapietra C, De Angelis P, Scarascia Mugnozza G, Grego S (2007) Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen fertilization on foliar elemental composition in a short rotation poplar plantation. Env Pollution 147: 507–515.
- Natali SM, Sanudo-Wilhelmy SA, Lerdau MT (2009) Plant and soil mediation of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> impacts on trace metals. Ecosystems 12: 715–727. doi: 10.1007/s10021-009-9251-7.
- 21. Adriano DC (2001) Trace Elements in Terrestrial Environments: Biogeochemistry, Bioavailability, and Risks of Metals. Springer Press.
- Khan FN, Lukac M, Turner G, Godbold DL (2008) Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> changes phosphorus fractions in soils under a short rotation poplar plantation (EuroFACE). Soil Biol Biochem 40: 1716–1723.
- Johnson DW, Hungate BA, Dijkstra P, Hymus GJ, Hinkle CR, et al. (2003) The effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutrient distribution in a fire-adapted scrub oak forest. Ecol Appl 13: 1388–1399.
- Dijkstra P, Hymus G, Colavito D, Vieglais DA, Cundari CM, et al. (2002) Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> stimulates aboveground biomass in a fireregenerated scrub-oak ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 8: 90–103.
- Seiler TJ, Rasse DP, Li J, Dijkstra, Anderson HP, et al. (2009) Disturbance, rainfall and contrasting species responses mediated aboveground biomass response to 11 years of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in a Florida scrub-oak ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 15: 356-367. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01740.x.
- Stover DB, Day FP, Butnor JR, Drake BG (2007) Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on coarse root biomass in florida scrub detected by ground-penetrating radar. Ecology 88: 1328–1334.
- Liu D, Clark JD, Crutchfield JD, Sims JL (1996) Effect of pH of ammonium oxalate extracting solutions of prediction of plant available molybdenum in soil. Comm Soil Sci Plant Analy 27: 2511–2541.

- Brady NC, Weil RR (2002) The nature and properties of soils. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Crawley MJ (2007) The R Book. John Wiley and Sons, West Sussex, England.
  Sillen WMA, Dieleman WIJ (2012) Effects of elevated CO2 and N fertilization
- on plant and soil carbon pools of managed grasslands: a meta-analysis. Biogeosci 9: 2247–2258.
- 31. R Development Core Team (2007) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Institute for Genomic Biology, DeLucia Laboratory Public Data Archive. Available: http://www.life.illinois.edu/delucia/Public%20Data%20Archive/. Accessed 2013 April 30.
- Schroeder RE (2011) Effects of 11 years of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on root biomass and spatial distribution in a Florida scrub-oak ecosystem. PhD Dissertation, Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University.
- Oh N-W, Richter DD (2004) Soil acidification induced by elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Glob Change Biol 10: 1936–1946.
- Tang J, Chen J, Chen X (2006) Response of 12 weedy species to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in low-phosphorus availability soil. Ecol Res 21: 664–670.

- Lagomarsino A, Moscatelli MC, Hoosbeek MR, De Angelis P, Grego S (2008) Assessment of soil nitrogen and phosphorus availability under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and N-fertilization in a short rotation poplar plantation. Plant Soil 308: 131–147.
- Cheng L, Zhu J, Chen G, Zheng X, Oh N-H, et al. (2010) Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment facilitates cation release from soil. Ecol Lett 13: 284-291. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01421.x.
- Langley JA, McKinley DC, Wolf AA, Hungate BA, Drake BG, et al. (2009) Priming depletes soil carbon and releases nitrogen in a scrub-oak ecosystem exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Soil Biol Biochem 41: 54–60.
- Boerner REG (1982) Fire and nutrient cycling in temperate ecosystems. BioSci 32: 187–192.
- Liu JX, Zhang DQ, Zhou GY, Faivre-Vuillin B, Deng Q, et al. (2008) CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment increases nutrient leaching from model forest ecosystems in subtropical China. Biogeosci 5: 1783–1795.
- Kawano M, Tomita K (1996) Amorphous aluminum hydroxide formed at the earliest weathering stages of K-feldspar. Clays Clay Min 44: 671–676.
- Duval BD, Dijkstra P, Natali S, Megonigal JP, Ketterer ME, et al. (2011) Plantsoil distribution of potentially toxic elements in response to elevated CO2. Env Sci Tech 45: 2570–2574.